

**Appendix 4.9****Phytoplankton species composition and distribution in the study area (Dry season)**

Taxa	SW1	SW2	SW3	SWC1	SWC2	Total	%Total
<b>Bacillariophyta</b>							
<i>Navicula</i> spp	0	0	0	0	13	<b>13</b>	
<i>Nitzschia</i> spp	1	0	0	0	7	<b>8</b>	
<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0	0	0	0	4	<b>4</b>	
<i>Cyclotella</i> spp	0	2	75	2	2	<b>81</b>	
<i>Melosira</i> spp	0	0	0	0	17	<b>17</b>	
<i>Synedra</i> spp	1	1	0	0	0	<b>2</b>	
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>125</b>	29.83
<b>Chlorophyta</b>							
<i>Chlorella</i> spp	0	3	12	0	0	<b>15</b>	
<i>Rhizoclonium</i> spp	0	0	0	0	37	<b>37</b>	
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>52</b>	12.41
<b>Cyanophyta</b>							
<i>Coelosphaerium</i> spp	0	22	48	172	0	242	
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>242</b>	57.76
Taxa_S	2	4	3	2	6		
Individuals (cells/1000L)	2	28	135	174	80		
Shannon_H	0.69	0.74	0.91	0.06	1.44		
Evenness_e^H/S	1	0.50	0.82	0.08	0.80		
Margalef	1.44	0.90	0.41	0.19	1.14		
Dominance_D	1	0.38	0.56	0.02	0.71		

Source: Field survey, 2011

## Phytoplankton species composition and distribution in the study area (Wet season)

Taxa	SW1	SW2	SW3	SWC1	SWC2	Total	%Total
<b>Class: Bacillariophyceae</b>							
<i>Amphora ovalis</i>	1	5	1	0	1	8	
<i>Amphora spiroides</i>	0	0	0	1	0	1	
<i>Nitzschia frigida</i>	5	0	1	0	1	7	
<i>Nitzschia gracilis</i>	0	1	5	1	1	8	
<i>Nitzschia clausii</i>	1	0	0	1	1	3	
<i>Nitzschia closterium</i>	0	7	1	1	0	9	
<i>Cyclotella stigmata</i>	0	1	0	0	5	6	
<i>C. operculata</i>	1	0	1	0	1	3	
<i>C. centralis</i>	0	1	0	1	0	2	
<i>Melosira varians</i>	1	0	1	0	1	3	
<i>Navicula gracilis</i>	1	5	0	0	0	6	
<i>N. cuspidate</i>	3	1	1	5	1	11	
<i>N. ovalis</i>	1	0	0	0	1	2	
<i>Synedra ulna</i>	2	0	0	0	3	5	
<i>Tabellaria fenestrata</i>	1	3	1	2	1	8	
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>42.27</b>
<b>Class: Cyanophyceae</b>							
<i>Oscillatoria indica</i>	3	0	1	0	1	5	
<i>O. limnosa</i>	0	1	1	2	1	5	
<i>O. major</i>	1	0	3	1	0	5	
<i>O. obscura</i>	0	1	0	0	1	2	
<i>O. miniata</i>	1	1	1	2	0	5	
<i>Anabaena affinis</i>	0	1	0	0	1	2	
<i>A. flos-aquae</i>	1	0	1	0	0	2	
<i>A. laxa</i>	1	1	0	1	1	4	
<i>A. limnetica</i>	0	3	1	0	1	5	
<i>A. affinis</i>	1	0	1	1	0	3	
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>19.59</b>
<b>Class: Chlorophyceae</b>							
<i>Closterium gracile</i>	0	2	0	2	2	6	
<i>C. littorale</i>	6	0	0	0	0	6	
<i>C. navicula</i>	0	3	0	0	3	6	
<i>C. lineatum</i>	3	0	6	1	1	11	
<i>C. parvulum</i>	0	6	0	0	0	6	
<i>C. kuetzingii</i>	4	0	0	2	0	6	
<i>Eudorina elegans</i>	0	1	0	0	1	2	
<i>E. cylindrica</i>	0	0	3	1	0	4	
<i>Scenedesmus acuminatus</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<i>S. quadricauda</i>	3	1	0	0	0	4	

Taxa	SW1	SW2	SW3	SWC1	SWC2	Total	%Total
<i>Cosmarium sp</i>	1	3	0	0	0	4	
<i>Micrasterias apiculata</i>	0	1	0	0	1	2	
<i>Micrasterias radiata</i>	3	2	2	0	2	9	
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>34.02</b>
<b>Class: Euglenophyceae</b>							
<i>Euglena caudata</i>	1	0	5	1	1	8	
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4.12</b>
<b>SUMMARY</b>							
Taxa_S	23	22	19	17	24		
Individuals (cells/1000L)	46	51	37	26	34		
Shannon_H	2.91	2.83	2.67	2.68	3.01		
Evenness_e^H/S	0.93	0.92	0.91	0.96	0.97		
Margalef	5.75	5.34	4.98	4.91	6.52		
Dominance_D	0.95	0.95	0.94	0.95	0.97		

Source: Field survey, 2017

## Zooplankton species composition and distribution in the study area (Dry season)

Taxa	SW1	SW2	SW3	SWC1	SWC2	Total	%Total
<b>Order: Copepoda</b>							
<i>Copepod nauplius</i>	0	0	0	2	4	6	
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>20.69</b>
<b>Rotifera</b>							
<i>Keratella cochlearis</i>	0	0	0	9	11	20	
<i>Kellicotia spp</i>	0	0	0	1	0	1	
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>72.41</b>
<b>Tintinidae</b>							
Tintinid larva	0	0	0	0	2	2	
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6.90</b>
Taxa_S	0	0	0	3	3		
Individuals (cells/100L)	0	0	0	12	17		
Shannon_H	0	0	0	0.72	0.87		
Evenness_e^H/S	0	0	0	0.62	0.78		
Margalef	0	0	0	0.80	0.71		
Dominance_D	0	0	0	0.44	0.54		

Source: Field survey, 2011

**Zooplankton species composition and distribution in the study area (Wet season)**

Taxa	SW1	SW2	SW3	SWC1	SWC2	Total	%Total
<b>Order: Cladocera</b>							
<i>Alona sp</i>	0	0	0	1	0	1	
<i>Bosmina affinis</i>	1	0	1	0	1	3	
<i>Bosmina diaphana</i>	1	1	0	1	0	3	
<i>Polyphemus sp</i>	5	0	1	0	1	7	
<i>Moina sp</i>	1	0	0	1	0	2	
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>22.86</b>
<b>Order: Copepoda</b>							
<i>Mesocyclops sp</i>	1	0	0	0	3	4	
<i>Diaptomus sp</i>	1	5	1	0	0	7	
<i>Eucyclops sp</i>	0	0	0	1	0	1	
<i>Metacyclops sp</i>	1	5	0	0	1	7	
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>27.14</b>
<b>Order: Rotifera</b>							
<i>Lecane sp</i>	0	5	1	1	1	8	
<i>Euchlanis sp</i>	0	4	1	0	1	6	
<i>Collotheca sp</i>	5	0	0	1	0	6	
<i>Keratella sp</i>	1	0	1	1	1	4	
<i>Asplanchna sp</i>	3	1	0	1	1	6	
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>42.86</b>
<b>Cichlidae</b>							
<i>Tilapia sp (fry)</i>	0	1	3	0	1	5	
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7.14</b>
Taxa_S	10	7	7	8	9		
Individuals (cells/100L)	20	22	9	8	11		
Shannon_H	2.03	1.74	1.83	2.08	2.10		
Evenness_e^H/S	0.87	0.90	0.96	1	0.97		
Margalef	3.00	1.94	2.73	3.37	3.34		
Dominance_D	0.88	0.84	0.92	1	0.95		

**Benthic invertebrates composition and distribution in the study area (Dry season)**

Taxa	SW1	SW2	SW3	SWC1	SWC2	Total	%Total
<b>CLASS: OLIGOCHAETA</b>							
<i>Oligochaete</i> worm	0	0	0	3	0	3	
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	0	0	0	3	0	3	25
<b>CLASS: INSECTA</b>							
<i>Chaoborus</i> larvae	0	0	0	0	2	2	
<i>Chironomus</i> larvae	0	0	0	0	6	6	
<i>Simulium</i> larvae	0	0	0	0	1	1	
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	0	0	0	0	9	9	75
Taxa_S	0	0	0	1	3		
Individuals (cells/m <sup>2</sup> )	0	0	0	3	9		
Shannon_H	0	0	0	0	0.85		
Evenness_e^H/S	0	0	0	0	0.74		
Margalef	0	0	0	0	0.91		
Dominance_D	0	0	0	0	0.56		

Source: Field survey, 2011

## Benthic invertebrates composition and distribution in the study area (Wet season)

Taxa	Up-Stream	Mid-Stream	Down Stream	Agbonchia Control1	Rumukrushi Control2	Total	%Total
<b>CLASS: OLIGOCHAETA</b>							
<i>Dero obtusa</i>	2	0	0	0	1	3	
<i>Ophidionias sp</i>	0	0	1	0	0	1	
<i>Dugesia polychroa</i>	1	0	0	0	1	2	
<i>Lumbricus sp</i>	1	0	1	0	0	2	
SUBTOTAL	4	0	2	0	2	8	<b>38.10</b>
<b>CLASS: INSECTA</b>							
<i>Chironomous sp</i>	0	1	0	0	1	2	
<i>Cordulia sp</i>	0	2	0	0	0	2	
<i>Donacia sp</i>	1	0	0	1	1	3	
<i>Dytiscus sp</i>	0	0	1	0	0	1	
<i>Poissonia sp</i>	1	0	0	1	1	3	
SUBTOTAL	2	3	1	2	3	11	<b>52.38</b>
<i>Nauplii</i>	0	1	0	0	1	2	
SUBTOTAL	0	1	0	0	1	2	<b>9.52</b>
Taxa_S	5	3	3	2	6		
Individuals (cells/m <sup>2</sup> )	6	4	3	2	6		
Shannon_H	1.56	1.04	1.10	0.69	1.79		
Evenness_e^H/S	1	1	1	1	1		
Margalef	2.23	1.44	1.82	1.44	2.79		
Dominance_D	0.93	0.83	1	1	1		

Source: Field survey, 2017